FORM PTO-1083 (modifi	Tied) JUN 0 2 2003	2			C	AF ase/Dock	et No. 15.31/5	631
	TOR DEVICES AND MET))) (THODS)	Group Art U Examiner: M	nit: 2811 Magee, Thoma	as J.	TECHNO	J. RE	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-14					•	TECHNOLOGY CENTER CO.	RECEIVED	
Sir:						7	, <i>u</i>	
Small entity status ubmitted. A verified statem X It is believe that	Response to Office Action us of this application under nent to establish small entity no additional fee is required	37 CFR 1.9 and 1 y status under 37 C	.27 has been e	stablished by		kstatemer	of previously	
The fee has been calculate	ed as shown below:							
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER RESPONSE	HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA RA	ADDIT TE FEE	OR RA		DDIT. FEE	
TOTAL INDEP CLAIMS * FIRST PRESENTA	19 MINUS 3 MINUS ATION OF MULTIPLE DI	20 = 3 = EP. CLAIM	0 x 0 x + TOTAL	\$9 \$42 \$140 \$0			\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
	eposit Account No. 50-0583 fee. A duplicate copy of thi			ne extension for	ee and als	o the amo	ount of \$ to)

A check in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the extension fee is enclosed.

A check in the amount of \$ ____ to cover the filing fee for additional claims is enclosed.

A check in the amount of \$ ____ to cover the RCE fee is enclosed.

A check in the amount of \$ ____ to cover the Information Disclosure Statement fee is enclosed.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit <u>X</u> any overpayment to Deposit Account No. No. 50-0585. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

- Any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims. <u>X</u>
- X Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

Alan S. Raynes

Dated: May 28, 2003

Registration No. 39,809

KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR & MANN, LLP

315 South Beverly Drive, Suite 210

Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 556-7983 (tele.) (310) 556-7984 (fax)

Customer No. 24033

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 28, 2003.



15/PSesponse 15/Assikins 6/10/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re th	ne Application of:)	Group Art Unit: 2811
TSUG	ANE et al.)	-
Serial	No. 09/759,715)	Examiner: Magee, Thomas J.
Filed:	January 13, 2001)	
For:	SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES AND METHODS)	
	FOR MANUFACTURING THE SAME)	

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sirs:

In response to the Office Action dated February 28, 2003, please enter and consider the following:

REMARKS

Claims 1-4 and 15-29 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 15 17-18 and 23-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Nagai (US 6,104,053) in view of Owens et al. (US 4,598,460). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, the following criteria should be met. First, there should be a suggestion or motivation in the art to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there should be a reasonable expectation of success. Third, the reference(s) must teach all the claim limitations. MPEP section 706.02(j). Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's citations to the art are insufficient to satisfy the three criteria above and accordingly, the rejections should be withdrawn.